

# SUCCEEDING WITH TRANSFORMATIONAL INITIATIVES: PRACTICAL APPROACHES FOR MANAGING CHANGE PROGRAMS

Ali M. AL-KHOURI

Emirates Identity Authority, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates, ali.alkhouri@emiratesid.ae

## Abstract

According to exiting literature, most change programs fail to manage and/or meet the expectations of stakeholders; leading to the failure of larger strategic organisational and transformational initiatives. Undoubtedly, change management necessitates introspective planning and responsive implementation but a failure to acknowledge and manage the external stakeholder environment will undermine these efforts. This article presents some practical frameworks for managing the delivery of change that were used collectively in different situations and contributed to the successful implementation of change programs. It does not recommend any specific approach to yield successful outcomes, but it considers a range of approaches for practitioners to take into account to assure seamless integration of programs with the formulation of overall strategy and implementation planning. Understanding the components of each program is asserted to support organisations to better understand the people and non-technology dimensions of their projects and the need to ensure effective, consultative communications to gain and maintain support for the program of change.

**Keywords:** People Management, Change Management Tactics.

## REFERENCES

- Berkman, E. (2002). How to Use the Balanced Scorecard. *CIO Magazine*. Retrieved July 12, 2009, from <http://www.cio.com/archive/051502/sco-recard.html>.
- Binney, G. and Williams, C. (1997). *Leading into The Future: Changing The Way People Change Organisations*. London: Nicholas Brealey Publishing.
- Bridges, W. and Mitchell, S. (2000). Leading Transition: A New Model for Change. *Leader to Leader Institute*, 16. Retrieved July 12, 2009, from <http://www.pfdf.org/leaderbooks/L2L/spring2000/bridges.html>.
- Burnes, B. (2000). *Managing Change: A Strategic Approach to Organisational Dynamics* (3rd ed.). New York: Prentice Hall.
- Burnes, B. (2004). *Managing Change*. (4th edn.). London: FT Prentice Hall.
- Carnall, C. A. (2003). *Managing Change in Organisations* (4th ed.). NY, USA: Prentice Hall.
- Christensen, C. M. and Overdorf, M. (2000). Meeting the challenge of disruptive change. *Harvard Business Review*, 78(2), pp. 66-76.
- Clarke, A. and Manton, S. (1997). A benchmarking tool for change management. *Business Process Management Journal*, 3(3), pp. 248-255.
- Cooke, D., Gelman, L. and Peterson, W. J. (2001). ERP Trends. *The Conference Board*. New York. Retrieved October 3, 2009, from <http://www.con-ferenceboard.ca>.

- Cummings, T. G. and Worley, C. G. (1997). *Organisation Development and Change* (6th ed.). Cincinnati, OH, USA: South-Western College Publishing.
- Davenport, T. H. (2000). *Mission Critical: Realizing the Promise of Enterprise Systems*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- DOE - US Department of Energy (2002). *Basic Performance Measures for Information Technology Projects* (White Paper). Retrieved November 05, 2009, from <http://cio.doe.gov/ITReform/sqse/download/PE-WI-V3-011502.doc>.
- Hammer, M. (2000). Reengineering redux. *CIO*, 13(10), pp. 143-56.
- Heeks, R. (2003). eGovernment for Development. University of Manchester, UK. Retrieved October 3, 2009, from <http://www.edevexchange.org/eG-ov/faileval.htm>.
- Huber, N. (2003). Hitting targets? The state of UK IT project management. *ComputerWeekly*, pp. 22-24.
- Jones, J., Aguirre, D. and Calderone, M. (2003). 10 Principles of change management. *Strategy+Business Magazine*. Retrieved July 12, 2009, from <http://www.strategybusiness.com/resiliencereport/resilience/-rr00006?tid=230&pg=all>.
- Kaplan, R. S. and Norton, D. P. (1996). *The Balanced Scorecard: Translating Strategy into Action*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Kotter, J. P. (1996). *Leading Change*. Boston, Massachusetts, USA: Harvard Business School Press.
- Lewin, K. (1951). Field theory in social science. In D. Cartwright (Ed.), *Selected Theoretical Papers*. New York, USA: Harper & Row.
- Lewin, K. (1974). Frontiers in group dynamics: concept, method, and reality in social science. *Human Relations*, 1, pp. 5-41.
- Lock, C. (2001). Motivation. Retrieved July 12, 2009, from Internet Marketing Resource Center Web site <http://www.i-m-r-c.com/article/lock8.htm>.
- McGregor, D. (1960). *The Human Side of Enterprise*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Moshonas, J. (2002). Balanced Scorecard Lessons Learned, *DM Review Magazine*. Retrieved July 12, 2009, from [http://www.dmreview.com/artic-le\\_sub.cfm?articleId=5398](http://www.dmreview.com/artic-le_sub.cfm?articleId=5398).
- Mullins, L. J. (1996). *Management and Organisational Behaviour* (4th ed.). London, UK: Pitman Publishing.
- Schneider, P. (1999). Wanted: ERPeople Skills, *CIO Magazine*, 12(10), pp. 30-37.
- Senge, P. M. (1990). *Fifth Discipline: the art & practice of the learning organisation*. UK: Random House Publishing.
- Shetty, K. A. V. (2003). Why most e-government projects fail. *Businessline*, Chennai, p. 1.
- Standish Group (2003). Chaos Chronicles. Retrieved October 16, 2009, from The Standish Group Int'l Web site, [www.standishgroup.com/pressarticle.php?id=2](http://www.standishgroup.com/pressarticle.php?id=2).
- Tatnall, A. (2005). Why some projects fail: reducing the risk of failure. Retrieved July 12, 2009, from Victoria University, USA Web site, [http://www.business.vu.edu.au/bco6656/20051/PM5ax%20\(Why%20Some%20Projects%20Fail\).pdf](http://www.business.vu.edu.au/bco6656/20051/PM5ax%20(Why%20Some%20Projects%20Fail).pdf).
- Thompson, J. L. (2003). *Strategic Management* (4th ed.). USA: Thomson.
- Wacker, W. and Taylor, T. (1997). *The 500 Year Delta*. Oxford: Capstone Books.